IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Business Management (IMPACT: IJRBM) ISSN(P): 2347-4572; ISSN(E): 2321-886X

Vol. 4, Issue 11, Nov 2016, 9-18

© Impact Journals



# A STUDY ON QUALITY OF WORK LIFE AND ITS IMPACT ON EMPLOYEES PERFORMANCE IN ANCILLARY UNITS IN TIRUCHIRAPPALLI DISTRICT

S.VENKATESH<sup>1</sup>, K. G. SELVAN<sup>2</sup> & M. DEEPAK RAJAGOBAL<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, PRIST School of Business, PRIST University, Vallam, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India <sup>2</sup>Associate Dean, PRIST School of Business, PRIST University, Vallam, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India <sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, PRIST School of Business, PRIST University, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India

# **ABSTRACT**

Quality of working life as satisfaction of these key needs through resources, activities, and outcomes stemming from participation in the workplace needs. Defining quality of working life have included theoretical approaches, lists of identified factors, correlational analyses, with opinions varying as to whether such definitions and explanations can be both global, or need to be specific to each work setting. The distinction made between job satisfaction and dissatisfaction in quality of working life reflects the influence of job satisfaction theories. Herzberg in his study "Hygiene factors" and "Motivator factors" to distinguish between the separate causes of job satisfaction and job dissatisfaction. It has been suggested that Motivator factors are intrinsic to the job, that is; job content, the work itself, responsibility and advancement. The Hygiene factors or dissatisfaction-avoidance factors include aspects of the job environment such as interpersonal relationships, salary, working conditions and security. Of these latter, the most common cause of job dissatisfaction can be company policy and administration, whilst achievement can be the greatest source of extreme satisfaction.

**KEYWORDS:** Job Satisfaction, Motivators Factors, Hygiene Factors, Workplace Needs

# **INTRODUCTION**

Although research has uncovered important predictors of quality of work life (QWL), yet it has been absent present and has not been fully explored. To date, much of the empirical research on QWL has implicitly, if not explicitly, adopted a contemporary view of job satisfaction, stress, labour relations aboard based view of occupation. Past scholars have offered a variety of definitions and suggestions of what constitutes QWL. For instance, QWL is a philosophy, a set of principles, when hold that people are the most important resource in the organization as they are trust worthy, responsible of making valuable contribution and they should be treated with dignity and respect. The elements they are relevant to an individual's quality of work life include the task, the physical work environment, social environment within the organization, administrative system and relationship between life on and off the job.

# REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Killian, Efraty & Claiborne, (1991-1997), who highlighted the link between job stress and burn-out as major negative aspects of general quality of life, and hence QWL killian's research emphasized that stress cannot be viewed in isolation from the other factors influencing people at work. Importantly, from the employer's viewpoint, QWL appears to

have a potentially significant influence upon important outcome measures such as employee performance and organizational turnover.

Richard E W alton, states a much broader concept of QWL proposing eight conceptual categories via., adequate and fair compensation, safe and health working condition, Opportunities to use and develop human capacities, future opportunity for continued growth and security, social integration in the work place, social relevance of work, balanced role of work organization etc.

Winter (1992), viewed QWL for academicians as an attitudinal response to the prevailing wok environment and posited five work environment domains that include role stress, job characteristics, and supervisory, structural and sectoral characteristics to directly and indirectly shape academicians, experiences, attitudes and behavior.

#### RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research refers to a search for knowledge. It is a systematic method of collecting and recording the facts in the form of numerical data relevant to the formulated problem and arriving at certain conclusions over the problem based on collected data.

In short, the search for knowledge through objective and systematic method of finding solution to a problem is research.

# NEED FOR THE STUDY

- To measure satisfaction level of the employees.
- To evaluate the attitudinal and behavioral symptoms towards Organization.
- To fulfill the gaps by developing and mounding the employees skills, knowledge, attitude and behaviour etc.
- To improve the organizational climate.
- To help a company to fulfill its personal needs.
- To increase productivity, quality and quantity of output.

# Scope of the Study

This study will help to analyze the quality of work life among employee's inAncillary units in Tiruchirappalli.

# **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

#### **Primary**

To analyze the quality of work life among employees in Ancillary units in Tiruchirappalli

# Secondary

- To study about the factors affecting the job in the organization.
- To find out the career development carried out in the organization.
- To understand the effectiveness of social integrity among the employees.

• To identify the various safety & welfare measures provided to the employees of the organization.

#### RESEARCH DESIGN

The Research design chosen for the study is a Descriptive design.

#### **Source of Data Collection**

The data collection during the study comprises of (1) Primary Data, (2) Secondary Data.

# **Sampling Size**

The total number of respondents is 100.

#### **Statistical Tools Used**

CHI SQUARE TEST.(2) ANOVA TEST.

#### **Sampling Design**

The respondents were chosen on simple Random sampling.

#### **Tools of Data Collection**

The research instrument used in this study "structured questionnaire"

# **DATA ANAYLISIS & INTERPRETATION**

Table 1: Association between Experiences of the Respondents and Their Opinion about Job Satisfaction

Sl. No	Experiences	Highly	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Highly	Statistical
51. 110		Satisfied				Dissatisfied	Inference
		(n=36)	(n=32)	(n=20)	(n=8)	(n=4)	
1	Below 5yrs	4 (100%)	0	0	0	0	
2	6 to 10yrs	24(100%)	0	0	0	0	
							$X^2=150.466$
3	10 to 15yrs	8 (28.6%)	20	0	0	0	X =130.400
			(71.4%)			-	Df = 16
							P < 0.05
4	15 to 20yrs	0	12	4 (25%)	0	0	1 < 0.03
			(75%)	(== , , ,			Significant
5	20 yrs &	- 0	0	16	8 (28.6%)	4 (14.3%)	
	above			(57.1%)	- ( - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	, , , , ,	

The above table shows that there is a significant association between experiences of the respondents and their opinion about job satisfaction. Because the calculated value less than table value

# **Null Hypothesis**

There is a significant association between experiences of the respondents and their opinion about job satisfaction.

# **Alternative Hypothesis**

There is no significant association between experiences of the respondents and their opinion about job satisfaction.

#### **Statistical Test**

Chi-square test was used the above hypothesis

# **Findings**

The above table shows that there is a significant association between experiences of the respondents and their opinion about job satisfaction. Because, the calculated value less than table value. So the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

Table 2: Association between Educational Qualification of the Respondents and Their Improve Career

				Caree	er Improved		
Sl. No	Educational	Highly	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Highly	Statistical
51. 140	Qualification	Satisfied	Batisfied	Neutrai	Dissutisficu	Dissatisfied	Inference
		(~ 10)	(n=52)	(n=24)	(n=8)	(n 4)	
		(n=12)				(n=4)	
1	SSLC	12	20	0	0	0	
	5520	(37.5%)	(62.5%)	0	Ŭ	Ü	
		, ,	, ,				$X^2=172.596$
							X = 1/2.390
2	HSC	0	28	0	0	0	
	1100	Ŭ	(1000/)	·			Df = 12
			(100%)				
							P < 0.05
			4	24			
3	UG	0			4 (12.5%)	0	Significant
			(12.5%)	(75%)	` ′		
4	PG	0	0	0	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	

The above table shows that there is a significant association between educational qualification of the respondents and their improved career. Because, the calculated value less than table value.

# **Null Hypothesis**

There is a significant association between educational qualification of the respondents and their improved career.

# **Alternative Hypothesis**

There is no significant association between educational qualification of the respondents and their improved career.

#### Statistical Test

Chi-square test was used the above hypothesis

#### **Findings**

The above table shows that there is a significant association between educational qualification of the respondents and their improved career. Because, the calculated value less than table value. So the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

Table 3: Association between Income of the Respondents and Their Wage Links to Increase Productivity

			Wage				
Sl. No	Income	Highly	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Highly	Statistical
		Satisfied	( 26)	( 20)		Dissatisfied	Inference
		(n=28)	(n=36)	(n=20)	(n=8)	(n=8)	
1	101 . 151	20	0		0		
1	10k to 15k	(100%)	0	0	0	0	$X^2=134.066$
_		, ,	36	8	_	_	Df = 8
2	15k to 20k	8 (15.4%)	(69.2%)	(15.4%)	0	0	P < 0.05
			(32.270)	(10.170)			
3	20 to 25k	0	0	12	8 (28.6%)	8 (28.6%)	Significant
	20 to 25 k		<u> </u>	(42.9%)	0 (20.070)	0 (23.070)	

The above table shows that there is a significant association between income of the respondents and their wage links to increase productivity. Because, the calculated value less than table value.

# **Null Hypothesis**

There is a significant association between income of the respondents and their wage links to increase productivity.

# **Alternative Hypothesis**

There is no significant association between income of the respondents and their wage links to increase productivity.

# **Statistical Test**

Chi-square test was used the above hypothesis

# **Findings**

The above table shows that there is a significant association between income of the respondents and their wage links to increase productivity. Because, the calculated value less than table value. So the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

Significant

Statistical MS Sl. No **Improve Career** Mean S.D SS Df **Inference** Between Groups 70.500 23.500 G1 (n=32)  $1.62\overline{50}$ .49187 F = 128.914G2 (n=28)2.0000 .00000 P < 0.05G3 (n=32) 3.0000 .50800

Table 4: One Way ANOVA Difference between Educational Qualification of the Respondents and Their Improve Career

The above table shows that there is a significant difference between educational qualification of the respondents and their improve career. Because, the calculated value less than table value.

.53452

G1 = SSLC / G2 = HSC / G3 = UG / G4 = PG

17.500

96

.182

4.5000

# **Null Hypothesis**

There is a significant difference between educational qualification of the respondents and their improve career.

# **Alternative Hypothesis**

There is no significant difference between educational qualification of the respondents and their improve career.

#### **Statistical Test**

One way ANOVA 'f' test was used the above hypothesis

G4 (n=8)

Within Groups

2

# **Findings**

The above table shows that there is a significant difference between educational qualification of the respondents and their improve career. Because, the calculated value less than table value. So the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

Table 5: One way ANOVA Difference between Income of the Respondents and Their Wage Links to Increase Productivity

Sl. No	Increase	Mean	S.D	SS	Df	MS	Statistical	
51. 110	Productivity	Mican	D. <b>D</b>	55	Di	IVIS	Inference	
	Froductivity						linerence	
1	Between Groups			106.331	2	53.166		
	G1 (n=20)	1.0000	.00000				F = 145.563	
	G2 (n=52)	2.0000	.56011				P < 0.05	
							Cianificant	
	G3 (n=28)	3.8571	.84828				Significant	
2	Within Groups			35.429	97	.365		
	G1 = 10k to $15k / G2 = 15k$ to $20k / G3 = 20$ to $25k$							

The above table shows that there is a significant difference between income of the respondents and their wage links to increase productivity. Because, the calculated value less than table value.

# **Null Hypothesis**

There is a significant difference between income of the respondents and their wage links to increase productivity.

# **Alternative Hypothesis**

There is no significant difference between income of the respondents and their wage links to increase productivity.

#### Statistical Test

On eway ANOVA 'f' test was used the above hypothesis

# **Findings**

The above table shows that there is a significant difference between income of the respondents and their wage links to increase productivity. Because, the calculated value less than table value. So the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

Table 6: One Way ANOVA Difference between Experiences of the Respondents and Their Opinion about Job Satisfaction

Sl. No	Job Satisfaction		Mean	S.D	SS	Df	MS	Statistical
								Inference
1	Betv	ween Groups			98.989	4	24.747	
	G1	(n=4)	1.0000	.00000				
	G2	(n=24)	1.0000	.00000				F = 99.738
	G3	(n=28)	1.7143	.46004				P < 0.05
								Cianificant
	G4	(n=16)	2.2500	.44721				Significant
	G5	(n=28)	3.5714	.74180				
2	Wi	thin Groups			23.571	95	.248	
	G1 = Below 5yrs / G2 = 6 to 10yrs / G3 = 10 t						/ G4 = 1	5 to 20yrs /
			G5 =	20 yrs & a	bove			

The above table shows that there is a significant difference between experiences of the respondents and their opinion about job satisfaction. Because, the calculated value less than table value.

# **Null Hypothesis**

There is a significant difference between experiences of the respondents and their opinion about job satisfaction.

# **Alternative Hypothesis**

There is no significant difference between experiences of the respondents and their opinion about job satisfaction.

#### **Statistical Test**

One way ANOVA 'f' test was used the above hypothesis

# **Findings**

The above table shows that there is a significant difference between experiences of the respondents and their opinion about job satisfaction. Because, the calculated value less than table value. So the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

# **Findings and Suggestions**

Based on the survey the research has given some of the findings.

- From the research it is found that 28% of the employees are having 20year &above experience.
- It is identified that 52% of the employees are earning 15k to 20k.
- From the research it is identified that 60% of the employees are satisfied about their existing wages system.
- It is identified that 36% of the employees are agree that wages links productivity.
- From the research it is identified that 28% of the employees are said the cost of living affects the wage system mostly.
- From the research it is identified that 28% of the employees are said the productivity affects the wage system mostly.
- Majority of respondents said that 80% of the employees are rewarded for good performance.
- Majority of respondents said that 92% of the employees are said yes to smooth relationship with their superior.
- From the research it is identified that 52% of the employees are agreed that employees are treated equally and fairly.
- It is identified that 40% of the employees are satisfied about their productivity level.

#### SUGGESTIONS

- The supervisors must be trained to function effectively in a less directive style.
- The respondents also prefer to participate managerial level planning.
- The employees must be given opportunities for advancement in the organization.
- The job training can be given to the employees to increase their productivity.
- In order to improve the friendly atmosphere the employer and the employees can be met at regular intervals in an informal way.
- Job security can be increased by liberalizing the norms followed in the atmosphere.

# **CONCULSIONS**

The present study reveals that there prevails organization support through the quality of work life of employees in the organization. Thus the quality of work life is necessary one. It leads to achieve organization goals at an optimum level, from the view point of employees at data collection and analyzed by the research shows that there is a moderate quality of work life in the organization.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Alina Ileana Petrescu and Rob Simmons (2008), "Human Resource Management Practices and Workers' Job Satisfaction", International Journal of Manpower, Vol. 29, No. 7, pp. 651-667
- 2. Bailyn L, Fletcher J K and Kolb D (1997), "Unexpected Connections: Considering Employees' Personal Lives Can Revitalize Your Business", MIT Sloan Marmgemervt Review, pp. 11-19. •
- 3. Bird J (2006), "Work-Life Balance: Doing it Right and Avoiding the Pitfalls", Employment Relations Today, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 21-30
- 4. Bhushan L I (1968), "Leadership Performance as Related to Age, Education, Residence and Sex", Indian Journal of Social Work, Vol. 2, pp. 193-196.
- 5. Feinstein Andrew Hale (2001), "A Study of Relationships between Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment among Restaurant Employees", University of Nevada.
- 6. Kirchmeyer C (1995), "Managing the Work-Nonwork Boundary: An Assessment of Organizational Responses", Human Reiations, Vol. 48, No. 5, pp. 515-536
- 7. Locke E A (1969), "What is Job Satisfaction?" Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, Vol. 4, pp. 309-336.
- 8. Sandrick k (2003). Putting the emphasis on employees as an award. Winning employer, Baptist health care has distant memories of the workforce shortage, Trustee. pp. 6-10.
- 9. Sharan N K (1980), "The Climate of an Organisation", Indian Management, Vol. 8, November-December, pp. 51-56.
- 10. Straw, R.J. and C.C. Heckscher, 1984. QWL: New working relationships in the communication industry. Labor Studies J., Vol. 9: 261-274.
- 11. Thompson C A (2002), "Managing the Work-Life Balancing Act: An Introductory Exercise", Journal of Management Education, Vol. 26, No, 2, pp. 205-220.
- 12. Walton, R. (1973), Quality of Work life Indicators- Prospects and Problems- A Portigal Measuring the Quality of working life, pp-57-70, Ottawa